

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : H04R 7/06, 1/02	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 92/03024 (43) International Publication Date: 20 February 1992 (20.02.92)
--	----	--

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB91/01262

(22) International Filing Date: 26 July 1991 (26.07.91)

(30) Priority data:

9017133.1	4 August 1990 (04.08.90)	GB
9103969.3	26 February 1991 (26.02.91)	GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR DEFENCE IN HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND [GB/GB]; Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB (GB).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): HERON, Kenneth, Harry [GB/GB]; 2 Hilder Gardens, Farnborough, Hants GU14 7BQ (GB).

(74) Agent: BECKHAM, R., W.; Intellectual Property Department, Defence Research Agency, Room 2012, Empress State Building, Lillie Road, London SW6 1TR (GB).

(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), BE (European patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB, GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent), US.


Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: PANEL-FORM LOUDSPEAKER

(57) Abstract

A panel-form loudspeaker has a resonant multi-mode radiator panel which is excited at frequencies above the fundamental frequency and the coincidence frequency of the panel to provide high radiation efficiency through multi-modal motions within the panel, in contrast to the pistonic motions required of conventional loudspeakers. The radiator panel is a skinned composite with a honeycomb or similar core and must be such that it has a ratio of bending stiffness to the third power of panel mass per unit area (in mks units) of at least 10 and preferably at least 100. An aluminium skinned, aluminium honeycomb cored composite can meet this more severe criterion easily.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BG	Bulgaria	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BJ	Benin	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BR	Brazil	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SU ⁺	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TC	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

⁺ It is not yet known for which States of the former Soviet Union any designation of the Soviet Union has effect.

PANEL-FORM LOUDSPEAKER

This invention relates to a panel-form loudspeaker utilising a resonant multi-mode radiator, which is suitable for applications requiring thin speaker sections such as in public address loudspeakers. The speaker exhibits a conversion efficiency approaching unity so it is suitable for applications requiring high acoustic power output from the loudspeaker.

Current loudspeakers utilise a diaphragm or similar element which is caused to move in a gross fashion in an essentially pistonic manner to create the acoustic output. The motion of the diaphragm should be in-phase across its surface so that the diaphragm moves backwards and forwards in response to the driver actuation and this is achieved, inter alia, by the nature and size of the diaphragm in relation to the frequency band over which the loudspeaker is required to operate. In these loudspeakers the diaphragm operates largely at frequencies below those at which it exhibits resonant modes (though typically they can operate above the first resonant frequency of the diaphragm by suitably damping-out this mode) and this imposes spatial and/or frequency limitations upon the loudspeaker which are undesirable. In order to raise the threshold of resonant frequencies small diaphragms are used but these are not efficient radiators at low frequencies.

There are two main kinds of loudspeaker in current use and both of these utilise a diaphragm driven in pistonic manner. The first of these is the electrostatic loudspeaker in which the diaphragm is driven by the charge difference experienced between the diaphragm and a rigid backplate closely spaced behind the diaphragm. Electrostatic loudspeakers are capable of yielding a high fidelity output across a wide frequency band and they are of relatively planar configuration suitable for public address applications. However they are expensive and have very low conversion efficiency which detracts from their advantages. The other established form of pistonic-diaphragm loudspeaker is the conventional dynamic loudspeaker which incorporates an edge mounted diaphragm driven by an electro-mechanical driver. These loudspeakers have relatively narrow bandwidth and although they are more efficient radiators than the electrostatic loudspeakers they

still have low conversion efficiency. In loudspeakers of this form it is necessary to prevent destructive interference between the forward and rearward outputs of the diaphragm. This usually requires that the diaphragm be mounted in the front face of a substantial box housing and consequently precludes flat panel formats.

It is an aim of the present invention to provide a high conversion efficiency flat panel-form loudspeaker having a frequency band at least adequate for public address purposes. This is achieved by making use of the possibilities offered by certain modern composite panels to produce a loudspeaker which operates in a novel way. Composite panels comprising thin structural skins between which is sandwiched a light spacing core are commonly used for aerospace structures for example and certain of these may be used in the speaker as claimed herein. Certain sandwich panel materials have been used previously in the construction of diaphragms in conventional dynamic loudspeakers, eg as disclosed in patent specifications GB 2010637A; GB 2031 691A; and GB 2023375A, but have not been used, to our knowledge, in the manner of this invention as resonant multi-mode radiators.

The invention claimed herein is a panel-form loudspeaker comprising:

a resonant multi-mode radiator element being a unitary sandwich panel formed of two skins of material with a spacing core of transverse cellular construction, wherein the panel is such as to have ratio of bending stiffness (B) to the cube power of panel mass per unit surface area (μ) in all orientations of at least 10;

a mounting means which supports the panel or attaches it to a supporting body, in a free undamped manner;

and an electro-mechanical drive means coupled to the panel which serves to excite a multi-modal resonance in the radiator panel in response to an electrical input within a working frequency band for the loudspeaker.

The term "transverse cellular construction" as used in the above .

definition and elsewhere in the specification refers to honeycomb core forms and other cellular based core constructions having non-hexagonal core sections with core cells extending through the thickness of the panel material.

In the above definition of the invention and throughout the specification and claims all units used are MKS units, specifically Nm and kg/m^2 in the above paragraph. We term the value of the above-given ratio "T" and a T value as specified above is necessary in order that the radiator panel might function properly in the manner required. Preferably the value of T should be at least 100. This T value is a measure of the acoustic conversion efficiency of the radiator panel when the loudspeaker is operating as intended at frequencies above its coincidence frequency (see below). A high T value is best achieved by use of honeycomb cored panels having thin metal skins. Our presently preferred panel type is those panels having honeycomb core construction and thin skins with both skins and core being of aluminium or aluminium alloy. With such panels T values of 200 or more can be achieved. It is most unlikely that any solid plate material could provide the required minimum value of T. A solid steel panel of any thickness would have a T value of about 0.5, well below that required. Solid carbon fibre reinforced plastics sheets with equi-axed reinforcement would have a T value around 0.85, still well short of the minimum requirement. The mode of operation of the speaker as claimed is fundamentally different from prior art diaphragm loudspeakers which have an essentially "piston" diaphragm motion. As mentioned previously such loudspeakers are intended to produce a reciprocating and in-phase motion of the diaphragm and seek to avoid modal resonant motions in the diaphragm by design of the diaphragm to exclude them from the loudspeaker frequency band and/or by incorporating suitable damping to suppress them. In contrast the present invention does not incorporate any conventional diaphragm but rather uses a panel, meeting the criteria described, as a multi-mode radiator which functions through the excitation of resonant modes in the panel not by forcing it to move in a piston, non-resonant manner. This difference in mode of operation follows from the panel stiffness to mass criterion, from the avoidance of edge damping and the absence of internal damping layers etc within the radiator panel, and also from operation of the radiator at frequencies above both the

coincidence frequency and the fundamental frequency of the composite panel.

The "coincidence frequency" is the frequency at which the bending wave speed in the radiator panel matches the speed of sound in air. This frequency is of the manner of a threshold for efficient operation of the loudspeaker for at frequencies above their coincidence frequency many modern composite sandwich panels radiate efficiently. It is possible using the information provided herein to produce a radiator panel suitable for given frequency bands in which the coincidence frequency of the radiator panel will fall at or below the required bandwidth so that the loudspeaker will convert almost all mechanical input from the electro-mechanical drive means into acoustic output. This is more than a mere desideratum for it is this characteristic of high conversion efficiency which overcomes potential problems in a resonant multi-mode radiator based system. A high conversion efficiency (which can be achieved by suitable selection of materials in accordance with the design rules given herein) is achieved when panel motions are constrained by acoustic damping rather than internal structural damping within the panel material or damping imposed by virtue of the panel mounting. When this is achieved acoustic distortions will be small.

The value of "B" in the above given "T" criterion is the static bending stiffness of the panel rather than the stiffness of the panel when subjected to rapid flexure. However the bending stiffness reduces with increasing frequency due to the increasing influence of shear motions within the core. It is important that the effect of this shear motion is minimised, and this can be achieved by the use of a panel with a sufficiently high shear modulus. This requirement leads to a second criterion which is that the core shear modulus (G) should be not less than the value given by the relationship: $\mu c^2/d$; where "c" is the speed of sound in air and "d" is the depth of the panel core. It is convenient to re-arrange this expression to the alternative formulation: $\mu.c^2/d.G > 1$.

Two exemplary forms of the invention are described below by way of example, with reference to the drawings of which:

Figure 1 is an isometric view from the rear of a frame-mounted loudspeaker; and,

Figure 2 is a lateral view of a ceiling mounted loudspeaker.

The loudspeaker as illustrated in Figure 1 comprises a resonant multi-mode radiator 1, a simple support frame 2 from which the radiator is suspended by means of suspension loops 3, and an electro-mechanical exciter 4. The radiator 1 comprises a rectangular panel of aluminium alloy-skinned, aluminium alloy honeycomb sandwich construction. Details of the panel and sizing rules etc are given later. The electromagnetic exciter 4 has a shaft 5 and is mounted upon the support frame 2 such that this shaft 5 bears against the rear of the radiator panel 1 and excites the latter by a reciprocating movement of the shaft when an electrical signal is supplied to the exciter 4. At the point of contact between the shaft 5 and the panel the latter is reinforced by a patch 6 to resist wear and damage. The exciter 4 is positioned such that it excites the radiator panel 1 at a position thereon close to one of its corners not at a position close to its centre point to avoid exciting the panel preferentially in its symmetrical modes. The inertial masses of the exciter 4 and the radiator panel 1 are matched to secure an efficient inertial coupling between the two for efficient power transfer.

The second version of the loudspeaker, which is depicted in Figure 2, is the like of that described above with reference to Figure 1 save in some minor details mentioned below. Common reference numerals are used for common parts in the two figures.

This version of loudspeaker is suspended from a ceiling 7 rather than a support frame. Four suspension loops 3 are used instead of two in the previous version, so that the radiator panel 1 underlies the ceiling rather than hanging down from it. The exciter 4 is positioned above the radiator 1.

Both versions of the loudspeaker operate in exactly the same way and are subject to the same design rules regarding selection of panel materials and construction and dimensioning of the panel having regard to the required frequency band of the loudspeaker.

The "T" criterion and the shear modulus criterion, both of which have been mentioned previously relate to panel forms and panel materials rather than panel dimensions and loudspeaker frequency ranges. To produce a speaker optimised for a particular frequency range it is useful to refer to some design rules which are given below.

The low end of the desired frequency range of the loudspeaker sets a limit upon the fundamental frequency of the panel for this must be below the lowest frequency of interest. Moreover the coincidence frequency of the panel should also be below the lowest frequencies of interest. The coincidence frequency (f_c) is independent of panel area and is given by the expression:

$$f_c^2 = \mu \cdot c^4 / 4 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot B$$

The desired bandwidth for a particular speaker sets a value of f_c and hence establishes a relationship between μ and B . If a value of the fundamental frequency (f_1) is also set then this fixes an approximate value for the area of the panel for f_1 is given by the approximate expression:

$$f_1^2 = B / \mu \cdot A^2$$

Finally, the frequency at which the first air resonance occurs within the core of the panel should be above the upper frequency limit of the loudspeaker. This frequency (f_a) is given by another expression:

$$f_a = c / 2 \cdot d$$

where d is the depth of the panel core. Hence this expression fixes the depth of the panel core according to the frequency bandwidth of the loudspeaker.

Design considerations are illustrated by way of example below with reference to one version of the loudspeaker which utilises a radiator

panel comprising a 1m x 1m square of aluminium skinned, aluminium honeycomb cored composite. The core depth for the panel is 0.04m and the thickness of each skin is 0.0003m. For this panel B is 18850Nm, μ is 3.38kg/m², and T is 488Nm⁷/kg².

From the f_1 equation, f_1 is $[18850/3.38 \times 1]^{1/2}$, = 75 Hz.

From the f_c equation, f_c is $[3.38 \times 340^4 / 4 \times 3.1416^2 \times 18850]^{1/2}$ = 246 Hz.

From the f_a equation, f_a is $340/2 \times 0.04$ = 4250 Hz.

The shear stiffness of the panel varies with orientation within the plane of the panel. For the axis of the minimum value of "G" the expression: $\mu \cdot c^2 / G \cdot d$ has a value of 0.056 and for the axis of its maximum value the same expression has a value of 0.122. Both these values are much less than the limiting value of 1 and indicate that the loudspeaker will not be limited in performance across the intended frequency band by core shear motions.

From these calculations it would be expected that a loudspeaker as claimed utilising a radiator panel in the form of a 1m square of the material detailed above would have a frequency bandwidth of 250 Hz to 4 kHz within which it would have a high conversion efficiency and low distortion. It is anticipated that such a bandwidth would be quite satisfactory for a public address loudspeaker.

CLAIMS

1. A panel-form loudspeaker comprising:

a resonant multi-mode radiator element being a unitary sandwich panel formed of two skins of material with a spacing core of transverse cellular construction, wherein the panel is such as to have ratio of bending stiffness (B), in all orientations, to the cube power of panel mass per unit surface area (μ) of at least 10;

a mounting means which supports the panel or attaches it to a supporting body, in a free undamped manner;

and an electro-mechanical drive means coupled to the panel which serves to excite a multi-modal resonance in the radiator panel in response to an electrical input within a working frequency band for the loudspeaker.

2. A panel-form loudspeaker as claimed in claim 1 in which the sandwich panel constituting the radiator element is such that it has a ratio of B/μ^3 of at least 100.

3. A panel-form loudspeaker as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2 in which the skins and core of the sandwich panel constituting the radiator element comprise aluminium or aluminium alloy.

4. A panel-form loudspeaker as claimed in any one of the preceding claims when the electro-mechanical drive means is supplied with an electrical drive signal having a fundamental frequency component in excess of both the first resonant frequency and the coincidence frequency of the radiator panel.

5. A panel-form loudspeaker as claimed in claim 1 and substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the drawings.

1/1

Fig. 1.





Fig. 2.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/GB 91/01262

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int.Cl. 5 H04R7/06 ; H04R1/02		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷		
Classification Systems	Classification Symbols	
Int.Cl. 5	H04R ; G10K	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched ⁸		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT⁹		
Category ¹⁰	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
Y	JOURNAL OF THE ACOUSTICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA. vol. 72, no. 6, October 1982, NEW YORK US pages 1863 - 1869; RICHARD V. WATERHOUSE ET AL.: 'Sampling statistics for vibrating rectangular plates' see the whole document ---	1,5
Y	GB,A,2 023 375 (SONY CORPORATION) 28 December 1979 cited in the application see page 2, line 96 - page 2, line 118; figures ---	1,5
A	EP,A,0 114 910 (INTERSONICS INCORPORATED) 8 August 1984 see page 2, line 22 - page 3, line 8; figures ---	1
A	US,A,3 272 281 (H. R. RUTTER) 13 September 1966 see column 2, line 37 - column 2, line 55; figures ---	1
-/-		
¹⁰ Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁰ ^{"A"} document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance ^{"E"} earlier document but published on or after the international filing date ^{"L"} document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) ^{"O"} document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means ^{"P"} document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed ^{"T"} later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention ^{"X"} document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step ^{"Y"} document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu- ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art ^{"Δ"} document member of the same patent family		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report	
23 OCTOBER 1991	07. 11. 91	
International Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer	
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE	GASTALDI G. L. <i>Giuseppe Gastaldi</i>	

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		Relevant to Claim No.
Category *	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	
A	GB,A,2 115 646 (L. A. WHITE) 7 September 1983 see abstract; figures ---	1
A	FR,A,2 408 168 (EBAUCHES S.A.) 1 June 1979 see page 4, line 12 - page 4, line 19; figure 5 ---	1
A	JOURNAL OF THE ACOUSTICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA. vol. 73, no. 1, January 1983, NEW YORK US pages 345 - 351; THOMAS D. ROSSING ET AL.: 'Nonlinear vibrations in plates and gongs' see the whole document ---	
A	GB,A,2 010 637 (SONY CORPORATION) 27 June 1979 cited in the application see page 2, line 1 - page 2, line 24 ---	1

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO. GB 9101262
SA 49600

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information. 23/10/91

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
GB-A-2023375	28-12-79	JP-C-	1281455	13-09-85
		JP-A-	54163023	25-12-79
		JP-B-	60003277	26-01-85
		CA-A-	1136261	23-11-82
		DE-A, C	2924204	20-12-79
		FR-A, B	2428951	11-01-80
		NL-A-	7904671	18-12-79
		US-A-	4272653	09-06-81

EP-A-0114910	08-08-84	AU-B-	564832	27-08-87
		AU-A-	1656383	10-01-85
		CA-A-	1199875	28-01-86
		JP-A-	59149495	27-08-84

US-A-3272281		None		

GB-A-2115646	07-09-83	US-A-	4408678	11-10-83
		CA-A-	1168989	12-06-84

FR-A-2408168	01-06-79	CH-A-	626497	30-11-81
		DE-A, C	2848525	10-05-79
		GB-A, B	2011661	11-07-79
		JP-A-	54076183	18-06-79
		JP-B-	62029756	27-06-87
		US-A-	4271498	02-06-81

GB-A-2010637	27-06-79	AT-B-	362000	10-04-81
		CA-A-	1102444	02-06-81
		DE-A-	2850786	18-10-79
		FR-A, B	2410410	22-06-79
		NL-A-	7811631	29-05-79
		US-A-	4198550	15-04-80
